



PUBLIC TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2025

Aktia Bank p.l.c.

Generated 24-11-2025

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment.

It was developed with investors, for investors. PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders. This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2025 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Legal Context

PRI recognises that the laws and regulations to which signatories are subject differ by jurisdiction. We do not seek or require any signatory to take an action that is not in compliance with applicable laws. All signatory responses should therefore be understood to be subject to and informed by the legal and regulatory context in which the signatory operates.

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2025 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS (ORO)	6
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	8
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	25
MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)	55
LISTED EQUITY (LE)	71
FIXED INCOME (FI)	79
SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO)	88
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	100

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

Managing portfolios in a sustainable and responsible way is the natural starting point for asset management at Aktia. By assessing all financially material factors relating to an investment we aim to enhance the risk-return profile of the portfolios we manage. In Aktia's asset management operations we have incorporated responsibility as part of all our investment activities. We've had our own Principles for responsible investment in place since 2006 and the principles are applied across all asset classes and updated regularly. The principles are based on the idea that investment objects operating in a responsible manner, in accordance with sustainable norms, are more profitable and have a more positive risk profile in the long term than investment objects that do not operate responsibly. To us, responsible investing also means that we are striving to achieve the best possible return on the chosen risk level. Aktia also has separate principles for corporate governance which are followed in all investment activities. Aktia's responsibility work is guided by the Aktia's sustainability programme and climate strategy.

When we speak of responsible investing, we are referring to every action that considers environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors. The way of applying responsibility in practice varies between different asset classes, but the premise is the same irrespective of the asset class. Our methods of implementing responsible investing are exclusion, consideration of responsibility factors (ESG integration), norms-based screening, active ownership and engagement and impact investing. We also strive to form a picture of the investment objects' impact on society that is as good as possible.

We intend to meet the increasing demands from both institutional and retail clients regarding responsible investing and set the bar high to be a preferred partner for long term client relationships.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

Aktia defined its initial emissions reduction targets in its Climate Strategy that was launched in 2021. To raise the level of ambition related to climate change mitigation, Aktia filed in the second quarter of 2024 a commitment letter to the Science Based Targets initiative and is committed to aligning its emissions reduction targets with the SBTi in line with the goals of the Paris Agreement. Within the next two years, Aktia will specify its pathway to carbon-neutrality for its investments, lending and own operations and update its climate strategy. During 2024 Aktia developed its active ownership methods by building a new model for sovereign engagement. The model is based on a new public climate performance assessment tool (ASCOR), where Aktia has been part of the advisory committee during the first phase of the project. The engagement process aims to enhance climate change considerations within Aktia's emerging market debt funds. In addition to the climate, another important environmental theme has gained increasing attention in recent years, namely biodiversity. In 2024 Aktia joined the SPRING initiative led by the UN supported Principles for Responsible Investment to halt biodiversity loss by 2030. As a collaborating investor Aktia will have an active role in the engagement with specified companies.

Section 3. Next steps

- What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Aktia's sustainability programme for 2022–2025 forms the foundation of our current work, and preparations for the next phase of the programme are underway. Over the next two years, Aktia will review its climate strategy, following the 2024 commitment to set both near- and long-term climate targets in alignment with the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) requirements. In connection with this, Aktia will continue to expand its climate strategy to encompass an increasing number of portfolios.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Kati Eriksson

Position

EVP, Asset Management

Organisation's Name

Aktia Bank p.l.c.

☒ A

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

☐ B

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS (ORO)

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

OTHER RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ORO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Other Responsible Investment Reporting Obligations	6

During the reporting year, to which international or regional ESG-related legislation(s) and/or regulation(s) did your organisation report?

- ☒ (A) Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD) [European Union]
- ☒ (B) Directive on AIFM (2011/61/EU) [European Union]
- ☐ (C) Enhancing climate-related disclosures by asset managers, life insurers and FCA-regulated pension providers (PS21/24) [United Kingdom]
- ☒ (D) EU Taxonomy Regulation [European Union]
- ☐ (E) Improving shareholder engagement and increasing transparency around stewardship (PS19/13) [United Kingdom]
- ☐ (F) IORP II (Directive 2016/2341) [European Union]
- ☐ (G) Law on Energy and Climate (Article 29) [France]
- ☒ (H) MiFID II (2017/565) [European Union]
- ☐ (I) Modern Slavery Act [United Kingdom]
- ☐ (J) PEPP Regulation (2019/1238) [European Union]
- ☒ (K) PRIIPS Regulation (2016/2340 and 2014/286) [European Union]
- ☒ (L) Regulation on the Integration of Sustainability Risks in the Governance of Insurance and Reinsurance Undertakings (2021/1256) [European Union]
- ☒ (M) SFDR Regulation (2019/2088) [European Union]
- ☒ (N) SRD II (Directive 2017/828) [European Union]
- ☐ (O) The Occupational Pension Schemes Regulation on Climate Change Governance and Reporting [United Kingdom]
- ☐ (P) Climate Risk Management (Guideline B-15) [Canada]
- ☐ (Q) Continuous Disclosure Obligations (National Instrument 51-102) [Canada]
- ☐ (R) Disposiciones de Carácter General Aplicables a los Fondos de Inversión y a las Personas que les Prestan Servicios (SIEFORE) [Mexico]
- ☐ (S) Instrucciones para la Integración de Datores ASG en Los Mecanismos de Revelación de Información para FIC (External Circular 005, updated) [Colombia]
- ☐ (T) Provides for the creation, operation, and disclosure of information of investment funds, as well as the provision of services for the funds, and revokes the regulations that specifies (CVM Resolution No. 175) [Brazil]
- ☐ (U) SEC Expansion of the Names Rule [United States of America]
- ☐ (V) SEC Pay Ratio Disclosure Rule [United States of America]
- ☐ (W) ASIC RG65 Section 1013DA Disclosure Guidelines [Australia]
- ☐ (X) Circular to Licensed Corporations: Management and Disclosure of Climate-related Risks by Fund Managers [Hong Kong SAR]
- ☐ (Y) Financial Investment Services and Capital Markets Act (FSCMA) [Republic of Korea]
- ☐ (Z) Financial Instruments and Exchange Act (FIEA) [Japan]
- ☐ (AA) Financial Markets Conduct Act [New Zealand]
- ☐ (AB) Guiding Opinions on Regulating the Asset Management Business of Financial Institutions [China]
- ☐ (AC) Guidelines on Environmental Risk Management for Asset Managers [Singapore]
- ☐ (AD) Guidelines on Sustainable and Responsible Investment Funds [Malaysia]
- ☐ (AE) Modern Slavery Act (2018) [Australia]

- ☐ (AF) Stewardship Code for all Mutual Funds and All Categories of AIFs [India]
- ☐ (AG) ADGM Sustainable Finance Regulatory Framework [United Arab Emirates]
- ☐ (AH) JSE Limited Listings Requirements [South Africa]
- ☐ (AI) Other
- ☐ (AJ) Other
- ☐ (AK) Other
- ☐ (AL) Other
- ☐ (AM) Other
- ☐ (AN) Not applicable; our organisation did not report to any ESG-related legislation and/or regulation during the reporting year.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
ORO 2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Other Responsible Investment Reporting Obligations	6

During the reporting year, to which voluntary responsible investment/ESG frameworks did your organisation report?

- ☐ (A) Asset Owners Stewardship Code [Australia]
- ☐ (B) Código Brasileiro de Stewardship [Brazil]
- ☐ (C) New Zealand Stewardship Code
- ☐ (D) Principles for Responsible Institutional Investors (Stewardship Code) [Japan]
- ☐ (E) Stewardship Code [United Kingdom]
- ☐ (F) Stewardship Framework for Institutional Investors [United States of America]
- ☐ (G) CFA Institute ESG Disclosure Standards for Investment Products [Global]
- ☒ (H) **Guidelines on Funds' Names using ESG or Sustainability-related Terms [European Union]**
- ☐ (I) Luxflag ESG Label [Luxembourg]
- ☐ (J) RIAA Responsible Investment Certification Program [Australia]
- ☐ (K) SRI Label [France]
- ☐ (L) ANBIMA Code of Regulation and Best Practices of Investment Funds [Brazil]
- ☐ (M) Code for Institutional Investors 2022 [Malaysia]
- ☐ (N) Code for Responsible Investing in South Africa (CRISA 2) [South Africa]
- ☐ (O) Corporate Governance Guidelines [Canada]
- ☐ (P) Defined Contribution Code of Practice [United Kingdom]
- ☐ (Q) European Association for Investors in Non-Listed Real Estate Vehicles (INREV) Guidelines [Global]
- ☐ (R) Global ESG Benchmark for Real Assets (GRESB) [Global]
- ☐ (S) Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN) Impact Reporting and Investment Standards (IRIS+) [Global]
- ☐ (T) OECD Guidelines for MNEs - Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors [Global]
- ☐ (U) UN Guiding Principles (UNGP) on Business and Human Rights [Global]
- ☒ (V) **Net Zero Asset Managers (NZAM) Initiative [Global]**
- ☐ (W) Net-Zero Asset Owner Alliance (NZAOA) [Global]
- ☒ (X) **Recommendations of the Taskforce for Climate-related Financial Disclosure (TCFD) [Global]**
- ☐ (Y) The Net Zero Investment Framework (NZIF) 2.0 [Global]
- ☐ (Z) Recommendations of the Taskforce for Nature-related Financial Disclosure (TNFD) [Global]
- ☒ (AA) **Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards [Global]**
- ☐ (AB) IFC Performance Standard [Global]
- ☐ (AC) International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB) Standards [Global]
- ☐ (AD) Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) Standards [Global]
- ☐ (AE) Other
- ☐ (AF) Other
- ☐ (AG) Other
- ☐ (AH) Other
- ☐ (AI) Other
- ☐ (AJ) Not applicable; our organisation did not report to any voluntary responsible investment/ESG frameworks during the reporting year.

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

OO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL
------	------	-----	-----	--------	----------------	---------

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	12	2024

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL
------	------	-----	--------	--------	------------------------	---------

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

- ☒ (A) Yes
☐ (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
-----------	-------------------	--------------	------------	------------	------------	---------------

OO 2.1	CORE	OO 2	OO 2.2	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL
--------	------	------	--------	--------	------------------------	---------

Are any of your organisation's subsidiaries PRI signatories in their own right?

- ☐ (A) Yes
☒ (B) No

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries not part of row (B), and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 14,525,899,800.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 2,272,074,300.00

ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	>10-50%	>10-50%
(B) Fixed income	>10-50%	>0-10%
(C) Private equity	0%	>0-10%
(D) Real estate	0%	>0-10%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	>0-10%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	0%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: EXTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.1	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your organisation's externally managed listed equity and/or fixed income AUM.

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income - SSA	(3) Fixed income - corporate	(4) Fixed income - securitised	(5) Fixed income - private debt
(A) Active	>50-75%	>10-50%	>75%	0%	>0-10%
(B) Passive	>10-50%	0%	0%		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.2	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	SAM 3, SAM 8	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Externally managed assets	GENERAL

Provide a breakdown of your organisation's externally managed AUM between segregated mandates and pooled funds or investments.

	(1) Segregated mandate(s)	(2) Pooled fund(s) or pooled investment(s)
(A) Listed equity - active	0%	>75%
(B) Listed equity - passive	0%	>75%
(C) Fixed income - active	0%	>75%
(E) Private equity	0%	>75%
(F) Real estate	0%	>75%
(G) Infrastructure	0%	>75%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 LE	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed listed equity	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed listed equity AUM.

(A) Passive equity 0%

(B) Active – quantitative 0%

(C) Active – fundamental >75%

(D) Other strategies 0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 FI	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM.

(A) Passive – SSA 0%

(B) Passive – corporate 0%

(C) Active – SSA >10-50%

(D) Active – corporate >50-75%

(E) Securitised 0%

(F) Private debt 0%

MANAGEMENT BY PRI SIGNATORIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 6	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Management by PRI signatories	GENERAL

What percentage of your organisation's externally managed assets are managed by PRI signatories?

>75%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(A) Listed equity	(2) >0 to 10%
(B) Fixed income – SSA	(11) >90 to <100%
(C) Fixed income – corporate	(2) >0 to 10%
(E) Fixed income – private debt	(1) 0%
(F) Private equity	(1) 0%
(G) Real estate	(1) 0%
(H) Infrastructure	(1) 0%

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(2) Listed equity - passive	(3) Fixed income - active	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) Yes, through internal staff	☒	☐	☒	☒	☒	☒
(B) Yes, through service providers	☒	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
(C) Yes, through external managers	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(2) Listed equity - passive
(A) Yes, through internal staff	☒	☐
(B) Yes, through service providers	☒	☐
(C) Yes, through external managers	☒	☒
(D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting	☐	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9.1	CORE	OO 9	PGS 10.1, PGS 31	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?

Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

(A) Listed equity – active (9) >70 to 80%

(B) Listed equity - passive (1) 0%

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, into your investment decisions?

(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(C) Listed equity - active - fundamental

☒

☐

(E) Fixed income - SSA

☒

☐

(F) Fixed income - corporate

☒

☐

EXTERNAL MANAGER SELECTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 12	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager selection	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when selecting external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when selecting external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	☒	☐
(B) Listed equity - passive	☒	☐
(C) Fixed income - active	☒	☐
(E) Private equity	☒	☐
(F) Real estate	☒	☐
(G) Infrastructure	☒	☐

EXTERNAL MANAGER APPOINTMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 13	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager appointment	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when appointing external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when appointing external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	☒	☐
(B) Listed equity - passive	☒	☐
(C) Fixed income - active	☒	☐
(E) Private equity	☒	☐
(F) Real estate	☒	☐
(G) Infrastructure	☒	☐

EXTERNAL MANAGER MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 14	CORE	OO 5, OO 5.1	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	External manager monitoring	1

For each externally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors, to some extent, when monitoring external investment managers?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors when monitoring external investment managers
(A) Listed equity - active	☒	☐
(B) Listed equity - passive	☒	☐
(C) Fixed income - active	☒	☐
(E) Private equity	☒	☐
(F) Real estate	☒	☐
(G) Infrastructure	☒	☐

ESG STRATEGIES

LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17 LE	CORE	OO 11	OO 17.1 LE, LE 12	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity

(A) Screening alone	0%
(B) Thematic alone	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%
(D) Screening and integration	>75%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%
(H) None	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17.1 LE	CORE	OO 17 LE	LE 9	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied?

Percentage coverage out of your total listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%
(B) Negative screening only	0%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	>75%

FIXED INCOME

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17 FI	CORE	OO 5.3 FI, OO 11	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active fixed income?

	(1) Fixed income - SSA	(2) Fixed income - corporate
(A) Screening alone	0%	0%
(B) Thematic alone	0%	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%	0%
(D) Screening and integration	0%	0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%	0%

(G) All three approaches combined	>75%	>75%
(H) None	0%	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 17.1 FI	CORE	OO 17 FI	N/A	PUBLIC	Fixed income	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active fixed income where a screening approach is applied?

	(1) Fixed income - SSA	(2) Fixed income - corporate
(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%	0%
(B) Negative screening only	0%	0%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	>75%	>75%

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

☒ **(A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable**

Provide the percentage of total AUM that your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products or funds represent:

>0-10%

- ☐ (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- ☐ (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18.1	CORE	OO 18	OO 18.2	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do any of your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal ESG and/or RI certification(s) or label(s) awarded by a third party?

- (A) Yes, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds hold formal labels or certifications
- (B) No, our ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products and/or funds do not hold formal labels or certifications

THEMATIC BONDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 20	CORE	OO 17 FI	FI 15, FI 17	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1

What percentage of your total environmental and/or social thematic bonds are labelled by the issuers in accordance with industry-recognised standards?

Percentage of your total environmental and/or social thematic bonds labelled by the issuers

(A) Green or climate bonds	>75%
(B) Social bonds	>0-10%
(C) Sustainability bonds	>0-10%
(D) Sustainability-linked bonds	>0-10%
(E) SDG or SDG-linked bonds	0%
(F) Other	0%
(G) Bonds not labelled by the issuer	0%

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	☒	☐	☐
Confidence Building Measures	☒	☐	☐
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	☒	☐	☐
(E) Fixed income – SSA	☒	☐	☐
(F) Fixed income – corporate	☒	☐	☐
(T) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity - active	☒	☐	☐
(U) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – listed equity - passive	☒	☐	☐
(V) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – fixed income - active	☐	☒	☐
(X) External manager selection, appointment and monitoring (SAM) – private equity	☐	☒	☐

(Y) External manager selection,
appointment and monitoring (SAM)
– real estate

☐

☒

☐

(Z) External manager selection,
appointment and monitoring (SAM)
– infrastructure

☐

☒

☐

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- ☐ (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- ☒ (B) Publish as ranges

POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☒ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☒ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☒ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- ☒ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- ☒ (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- ☒ (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- ☒ (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☒ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☒ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- ☐ (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- ☒ (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- ☐ (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
- ☐ (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☒ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☒ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- ☐ (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

☒ **(A) Overall approach to responsible investment**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_periaatteet/Responsible_investment_policy.pdf

☒ **(B) Guidelines on environmental factors**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_periaatteet/Responsible_investment_policy.pdf

☒ **(C) Guidelines on social factors**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_periaatteet/Responsible_investment_policy.pdf

☒ **(D) Guidelines on governance factors**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_periaatteet/Responsible_investment_policy.pdf

☒ **(E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_periaatteet/Responsible_investment_policy.pdf

☒ **(F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_periaatteet/Responsible_investment_policy.pdf

☒ **(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_periaatteet/Responsible_investment_policy.pdf

☒ **(I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_periaatteet/Responsible_investment_policy.pdf

☒ **(J) Guidelines on exclusions**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_periaatteet/Responsible_investment_policy.pdf

☐ **(K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment**

☒ **(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Omistajaohjauksen_periaatteet/Engagement_policy.pdf

☒ **(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_periaatteet/Responsible_investment_policy.pdf

☒ **(O) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting**

Add link:

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Omistajaohjauksen_periaatteet/Engagement_policy.pdf

- (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 4	PLUS	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1 – 6

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?

☒ **(A) Yes**

Elaborate:

We have certain rights as e.g. shareholders to use our vote at AGM's. As we invest our clients assets we see it as our obligation to utilize this right.

- (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- ☒ **(A) Overall stewardship objectives**
- ☒ **(B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities**
- ☒ **(C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts**
- ☒ **(D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation**
- ☒ **(E) Approach to escalation in stewardship**
- ☒ **(F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship**
- ☒ **(G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship**
- ☒ **(H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa**
- ☐ **(I) Other**
- (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 6	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your policy on (proxy) voting include voting principles and/or guidelines on specific ESG factors?

- ☒ (A) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific environmental factors
- ☒ (B) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific social factors
- ☒ (C) Yes, it includes voting principles and/or guidelines on specific governance factors
- ☐ (D) Our policy on (proxy) voting does not include voting principles or guidelines on specific ESG factors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 7	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- ☐ (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- ☐ (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- ☐ (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- ☐ (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- ☒ (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment						
(B) Guidelines on environmental factors					(7) 100%	
(C) Guidelines on social factors						
(D) Guidelines on governance factors						

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

AUM coverage

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change				(1) for all of our AUM		
(B) Specific guidelines on human rights				(1) for all of our AUM		

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☒ **(A) Listed equity**

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%

☒ **(11) 100%**

☒ **(B) Fixed income**

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%

☒ **(11) 100%**

☒ **(C) Private equity**

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%
- ☐ (9) >80% to 90%
- ☐ (10) >90% to <100%

☒ **(11) 100%**

☒ **(D) Real estate**

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- ☐ (1) >0% to 10%
- ☐ (2) >10% to 20%
- ☐ (3) >20% to 30%
- ☐ (4) >30% to 40%
- ☐ (5) >40% to 50%
- ☐ (6) >50% to 60%
- ☐ (7) >60% to 70%
- ☐ (8) >70% to 80%

- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

● (11) 100%

☑ (E) Infrastructure

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

● (11) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10.1	CORE	OO 9.1, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

What percentage of your listed equity holdings is covered by your guidelines on (proxy) voting?

☑ (A) Actively managed listed equity

(1) Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%

● (11) 100%

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

- ☑ (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent

Specify:

Director for Sustainability oversees all ESG activities, policies and development

☒ **(C) Investment committee, or equivalent**

Specify:

The ESG committee has a formal role in development of ESG methods, tools, processes and prioritization and escalation.

☒ **(D) Head of department, or equivalent**

Specify department:

Head of Portfolio Management is responsible of the day to day ESG implementation

- ☐ (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?

	(1) Board members, trustees, or equivalent	(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent
(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	☒	☒
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	☒	☒
(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes	☒	☒
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	☒	☒
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	☒	☒
(G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold	☒	☒
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	☒	☒

(I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment	☒	☒
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	☒	☒
(K) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement	☒	☒
(M) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting	☒	☒
(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)	☐	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1 – 6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

☒ (A) Yes

Describe how you do this:

The director for sustainability is a member of the working group (within Finance Finland) in which they outline political engagement within the financial industry in Finland

- ☐ (B) No
- ☐ (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☒ (A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

Individual portfolio managers and analysts in all asset classes with the support from the ESG committee, ESG-team and Sustainability director.

☒ (B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers

Specify:

External portfolio managers and analysts

- (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 13	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your board members, trustees, or equivalent?

- (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent
- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

- (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

- (1) KPIs are linked to compensation
- (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation
- (3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation

Describe: (Voluntary)

- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 15	PLUS	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

What responsible investment competencies do you regularly include in the training of senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation?

	(1) Board members, trustees or equivalent	(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department or equivalent
(A) Specific competence in climate change mitigation and adaptation	☐	☒
(B) Specific competence in investors' responsibility to respect human rights	☐	☒
(C) Specific competence in other systematic sustainability issues	☒	☒
(D) The regular training of this senior leadership role does not include any of the above responsible investment competencies	☐	☐

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- ☒ (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- ☒ (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- ☒ (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- ☒ (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- ☒ (E) Climate-related commitments
- ☒ (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- ☒ (G) Human rights-related commitments
- ☒ (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- ☒ (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- ☒ (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- ☒ (A) Yes, including governance-related recommended disclosures
- ☒ (B) Yes, including strategy-related recommended disclosures
- ☒ (C) Yes, including risk management-related recommended disclosures
- ☒ (D) Yes, including applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- ☐ (E) None of the above

Add link(s):

<https://www.aktia.com/sites/aktia-corp/files/investors/Aktia%27s%20year%202024/Aktia%20Bank%20Plc%20Financial%20Review%202024.pdf>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 18	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, to which international responsible investment standards, frameworks, or regulations did your organisation report?

- ☒ (A) Disclosures against the European Union's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR)

Link to example of public disclosures

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Lausunto/Ilmoitus_sijoituspaatosten_paaasiallisista_haitallisista_vaikutuksista_kestavyystekijoihin_Aktia_Pankki.pdf

- ☒ (B) Disclosures against the European Union's Taxonomy

Link to example of public disclosures

<https://www.aktia.com/sites/aktia-corp/files/investors/Aktia%27s%20year%202024/Aktia%20Bank%20Plc%20Financial%20Review%202024.pdf>

- ☐ (C) Disclosures against the CFA's ESG Disclosures Standard

- ☒ (D) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations

Specify:

Corporate Sustainability Reporting Directive (CSRD)

Link to example of public disclosures

<https://www.aktia.com/sites/aktia-corp/files/investors/Aktia%27s%20year%202024/Aktia%20Bank%20Plc%20Financial%20Review%202024.pdf>

- ☐ (E) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- ☐ (F) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- ☐ (G) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

- ☒ (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed all of our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement

Add link(s):

<https://www.finanssiala.fi/finanssiala-ry-jasenluettelo/>

- ☐ (B) Yes, we publicly disclosed some of our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- ☐ (C) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- ☐ (D) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☒ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☒ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- ☒ (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- ☒ (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- ☐ (E) Other elements
- ☐ (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☐ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

- ☐ (B) We incorporate climate change–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☒ (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- ☐ (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

	(1) Listed equity	(2) Fixed income	(3) Private equity	(4) Real estate	(5) Infrastructure
(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 23	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How does your organisation, or the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, prioritise the investees or other entities on which to focus its stewardship efforts?

Aktia can participate in the Annual General Meetings of the companies it invests in by using a representative that Aktia itself has appointed or through a partner in co-operation via the proxy voting service. The service allows Aktia to participate mainly in Annual General Meetings in foreign companies. With this method, Aktia can efficiently exercise its voting rights in a large number of companies on behalf of the fund's unit holders and wealth management customers. When it comes to Finnish companies, Aktia can if needed participate in Annual General Meetings of investee companies on behalf of the funds, wealth management customers who have given authorisation and, on its own behalf, by using a representative appointed by it. When deciding on participation, in particular the size of Aktia's equity holdings in the company in question, the size of equity holdings in the funds or wealth manager customers' investment portfolio, as well as the matters on the agenda of the Annual General Meeting shall be considered. Aktia deems that participation and voting is justifiable particularly when voting can on reasonable grounds be expected to have a positive impact on the development of the value of the holdings in Aktia's funds or investment portfolios in the long term.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- (B) We collaborate on a case-by-case basis
- (C) Other
- (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24.1	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Elaborate on your organisation's default position on collaborative stewardship, or the position of the external service providers or external investment managers acting on your behalf, including any other details on your overall approach to collaboration.

Due to our size and limited own resources we believe that e.g. engagement can be effective when done in a collaborative manner. We are actively taking part in different collaborative initiatives.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 25	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Rank the channels that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives.

- ☒ **(A) Internal resources, e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team, or staff**
Select from the list:
☒ 2
- ☒ **(B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers, if applicable**
Select from the list:
☒ 4
- ☒ **(C) External paid specialist stewardship services (e.g. engagement overlay services or, in private markets, sustainability consultants) excluding investment managers, real assets third-party operators, or external property managers**
Select from the list:
☒ 3
- ☒ **(D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with investors or other entities**
Select from the list:
☒ 5
- ☒ **(E) Formal collaborative engagements, e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, or similar**
Select from the list:
☒ 1
- ☐ (F) We do not use any of these channels

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 26	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How does your organisation ensure that its policy on stewardship is implemented by the external service providers to which you have delegated stewardship activities?

- ☒ **(A) Example(s) of measures taken when selecting external service providers:**
We utilize a comprehensive range of stewardship services provided by ISS ESG. Prior to selecting them as our primary partner, we conducted a thorough evaluation of several other service providers. Based on this assessment, ISS ESG demonstrated the strongest alignment with our needs and objectives, making them the most suitable choice to support our stewardship efforts.
- ☒ **(B) Example(s) of measures taken when designing engagement mandates and/or consultancy agreements for external service providers:**
E.g. for our pooled engagement activities, we rely on the UN Global Compact principles as a foundational framework. In our assessment, the service provider's engagement process demonstrated a structured and credible way to go forward. We are confident that all key areas - environment, anti-corruption, human rights, and labour rights - are comprehensively addressed.
- ☒ **(C) Example(s) of measures taken when monitoring the stewardship activities of external service providers:**
We follow up on how pooled engagement activities are developing on a quarterly basis. In terms of proxy voting we assess voting recommendations based on the service providers sustainability voting policy but always conduct our own assessment before making final voting decisions. Each year, we publish our proxy voting activities, pooled engagement outcomes, and any direct engagements we have undertaken.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 27	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How are your organisation's stewardship activities linked to your investment decision making, and vice versa?

Stewardship efforts are coordinated within the ESG-committee. This way we make sure that all important information will be shared across different investment teams. There is an important link between equity and corporate credit investment activities and information exchange between the teams is frequent.

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 29	CORE	OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

When you use external service providers to give recommendations, how do you ensure those recommendations are consistent with your organisation's (proxy) voting policy?

☒ (A) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations for controversial and high-profile votes

Select from the below list:

- ☒ (1) in all cases
- ☐ (2) in a majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in a minority of cases

☒ (B) Before voting is executed, we review external service providers' voting recommendations where the application of our voting policy is unclear

Select from the below list:

- ☒ (1) in all cases
- ☐ (2) in a majority of cases
- ☐ (3) in a minority of cases
- ☐ (D) We do not review external service providers' voting recommendations
- ☐ (E) Not applicable; we do not use external service providers to give voting recommendations

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 30	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- ☐ (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- ☐ (B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting
- ☐ (C) Other
- ☐ (D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes
- ☒ (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 31	CORE	OO 9.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- (A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
 - (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
 - (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure
 - (D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default
 - (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 32	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- ☐ (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- ☐ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website
- ☒ (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM
 - (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
 - (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33	CORE	OO 9	PGS 33.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?

- (A) Yes, for all (proxy) votes
 - Add link(s):
 - https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Proxy_voting_raportti.pdf
 - (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
 - (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
 - (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33.1	CORE	PGS 33	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

In the majority of cases, how soon after an investee's annual general meeting (AGM) or extraordinary general meeting (EGM) do you publish your voting decisions?

- (A) Within one month of the AGM/EGM
- (B) Within three months of the AGM/EGM
- (C) Within six months of the AGM/EGM
- (D) Within one year of the AGM/EGM
- (E) More than one year after the AGM/EGM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 34	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?

	(1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations	(2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution
(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale	(3) for a minority of votes	
(B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company	(3) for a minority of votes	
(C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information	○	●
(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year	○	○

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale - Add link(s):

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Omistajaohjauksen_periaatteiden_toteutuminen/Omistajaohjauksen_periaatteiden_toteutuminen.pdf

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 35	PLUS	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

How does your organisation ensure vote confirmation, i.e. that your votes have been cast and counted correctly?

We use a tool provided by our proxy voting service provider ISS to manage the voting instructions and research relating to AGM's/EGM's.

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 36	CORE	OO 8, OO 9 HF, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

(1) Listed equity

(A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one



(B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal



(C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter



(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors



(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director



(F) Divesting



(G) Litigation



(H) Other



(I) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our listed equity holdings

○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 37	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your corporate fixed income assets, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

- ☒ (A) **Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one**
- ☐ (B) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter
- ☐ (C) Not investing
- ☐ (D) Reducing exposure to the investee entity
- ☒ (E) **Divesting**
- ☐ (F) Litigation
- ☐ (G) Other
- (H) In the past three years, we did not use any of the above escalation measures for our corporate fixed income assets

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- ☒ (A) **Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly**
- ☐ (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- ☒ (C) **Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI**
- (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- ☒ (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
☒ (B) We responded to policy consultations
☐ (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups
☒ (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative
Describe:

We have engaged with policymakers, such as ministries and other government institutions and regulators directly.

- ☐ (E) Other methods

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

- ☐ (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions
☒ (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers
Add link(s):

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_katsaukset/Overview_of_responsible_investment.pdf

- ☐ (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

- ☒ (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon
Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

We perform climate impact assessment of our investees and on portfolio level, looking at e.g. climate metrics (footprint, intensity), scenario analysis, physical and transition risks (including Climate VaR).

☒ **(B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon**

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

We perform climate impact assessment of our investees and on portfolio level, looking at e.g. climate metrics (footprint, intensity), scenario analysis, physical and transition risks (including Climate VaR). The used scenarios go beyond any standard planning horizon to 2050.

- (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

☒ **(A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities**

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

Climate related risks are on the top of our agenda. This derives from both the demand from clients (institutional investors) as well as based on our own financial materiality assessment. Aktia has identified and assessed climate change-related impacts, risks and opportunities in its operations. Risks are assessed using different tools in the short, medium and long-term. As indicated in Aktia's emissions reporting, most of the greenhouse gas emissions arise through the investment and financing operations conducted by Aktia (scope 3). In Aktia's investment operations, climate-related physical- and transition risks are assessed using an external tool, whose scenarios comprehensively cover the latest scientific and company-specific data. The external tool is used to determine, for example, on which global warming pathway a portfolio is in relation to the different global warming scenarios. The scenario analysis reveals in which year the company will have used its allocated carbon budget, i.e. from which year onwards it will no longer be aligned with the 1.5 degree scenario. The tool is also used to review exposure to fossil fuels, the level of ambition of investees' emission reduction targets and how well investees have managed their carbon risks and benefited from the related opportunities. The tool is also used to assess a portfolio's potential loss in value resulting from transition risks. In 2021, Aktia launched its climate strategy. We have set climate-related targets for our investments, lending and own operations. Through these targets, we want to promote the transition to a low-carbon economy through our different businesses and our own operations. We monitor the implementation of our climate targets regularly, at least annually, and report on our progress to our stakeholders. The climate strategy has been approved by Aktia's Executive Committee and the milestones of the climate strategy 2025 are part of Aktia's sustainability program. Our goal is carbon neutrality in the investment portfolios by 2050, the operating environment permitting. Interim targets for wealth management in the climate strategy by 2025: the carbon footprint of equity and corporate bond portfolios -30%, share of green bonds in corporate bond funds 35%. Carbon footprint of equity and corporate bond portfolios -50% by 2030. The reference year is 2019. Aktia is committed to set the short and long-term climate goals according to Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi). This means that we will evaluate and develop our current climate goals according to the initiative. We will send our targets for validation to the SBTi in 2026 at the latest. We participate in investor initiatives and engagement campaigns with other operators in the sector. These include initiatives such as Climate Action 100+, and Net Zero Asset Managers Initiative, which aim to mitigate climate change.

- (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 42	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Which sectors are covered by your organisation's strategy addressing high-emitting sectors?

☒ **(A) Coal**

Describe your strategy:

Position on exclusions of fossil fuels in the group ESG policy

☒ **(B) Gas**

Describe your strategy:

Position on exclusions of fossil fuels in the group ESG policy

☒ **(C) Oil**

Describe your strategy:

Position on exclusions of fossil fuels in the group ESG policy

☒ **(D) Utilities**

Describe your strategy:

Position on exclusions of fossil fuels in the group ESG policy

☐ (E) Cement

☐ (F) Steel

☐ (G) Aviation

☐ (H) Heavy duty road

☐ (I) Light duty road

☐ (J) Shipping

☐ (K) Aluminium

☒ **(L) Agriculture, forestry, fishery**

Describe your strategy:

Position on exclusions of Peat in the group ESG policy

☐ (M) Chemicals

☐ (N) Construction and buildings

☐ (O) Textile and leather

☐ (P) Water

☐ (Q) Other

☐ (R) We do not have a strategy addressing high-emitting sectors

Provide a link(s) to your strategy(ies), if available

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_periaatteet/Responsible_investment_policy.pdf

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

☐ (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)

☐ (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario

☒ **(C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario**

☐ (D) Yes, using other scenarios

☐ (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

☒ **(A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks**

(1) Describe your process

We use the ISS-ESG climate impact assessment tool.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

We use the results in risk reporting to the Fund Management company board as well as the group risk control function.

☒ **(B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks**

(1) Describe your process

We use the ISS-ESG climate impact assessment tool. Aktia's climate strategy and its targets define the goal for managing climate related risks. We report on the progress publicly annually.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

Risk reports based on the climate impact assessment are reported to both the Fund Management company's board as well as the group board.

☐ (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and publicly disclose?

☒ **(A) Exposure to physical risk**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

☒ **(1) Metric or variable used**

- ☐ (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
- ☐ (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

☒ **(B) Exposure to transition risk**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

☒ **(1) Metric or variable used**

- ☐ (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
- ☐ (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

☐ (C) Internal carbon price

☒ **(D) Total carbon emissions**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- ☐ (1) Metric or variable used
- ☐ (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
- ☒ **(3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

<https://www.aktia.com/sites/aktia-corp/files/investors/Aktia%27s%20year%202024/Aktia%20Bank%20Plc%20Financial%20Review%202024.pdf>

☒ **(E) Weighted average carbon intensity**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used
- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

● **(3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_katsaukset/Overview_of_responsible_investment.pdf

☐ (F) Avoided emissions

☒ **(G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)**

(1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric or variable used
- (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed

● **(3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://misc.aktia.fi/data-service/documents/investment/Vastuullinen_sijoittaminen/Vastuullisen_sijoittamisen_katsaukset/Overview_of_responsible_investment.pdf

☐ (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals

☐ (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities

☐ (J) Other metrics or variables

○ (K) Our organisation did not use or publicly disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

☒ **(A) Scope 1 emissions**

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric disclosed

● **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.aktia.com/sites/aktia-corp/files/investors/Aktia%27s%20year%202024/Aktia%20Bank%20Plc%20Financial%20Review%202024.pdf>

☒ **(B) Scope 2 emissions**

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric disclosed

● **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.aktia.com/sites/aktia-corp/files/investors/Aktia%27s%20year%202024/Aktia%20Bank%20Plc%20Financial%20Review%202024.pdf>

☒ **(C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)**

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric disclosed

● **(2) Metric and methodology disclosed**

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

<https://www.aktia.com/sites/aktia-corp/files/investors/Aktia%27s%20year%202024/Aktia%20Bank%20Plc%20Financial%20Review%202024.pdf>

- (D) Our organisation did not publicly disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- ☒ (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
- ☐ (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- ☐ (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- ☒ (E) The EU Taxonomy
- ☐ (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- ☐ (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
- ☐ (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
- ☐ (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- ☐ (J) Other international framework(s)
- ☐ (K) Other regional framework(s)
- ☒ (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)

Specify:

Upright Project Net Impact, assessing the impact on the environment, society, health and knowledge.

- (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- ☒ (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- ☒ (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- ☒ (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- ☒ (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- ☐ (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- ☐ (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- ☐ (G) Other method
- ☐ (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- ☒ (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- ☐ (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

HUMAN RIGHTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49	PLUS	PGS 47	PGS 49.1	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, what steps did your organisation take to identify and take action on the actual and potential negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

- ☐ (A) We assessed the country level context of our potential and/or existing investments to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes
- ☐ (B) We assessed the sector context of our potential and/or existing investments to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes
- ☐ (C) We assessed the human rights performance of our potential and/or existing investments to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes
- ☐ (D) We monitored severe and emerging human rights controversies to understand how this could connect our organisation to negative human rights outcomes
- ☒ (E) We took other steps to assess and manage the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to our investment activities

Specify:

We used the Upright Project impact model to assess the net impact on e.g. people's health.

Explain how these activities were conducted:

Upright Project performs a quarterly assessment of our portfolios. The assessment is based on scientific publications and public data.

- (F) We did not identify and take action on the actual and potentially negative outcomes for people connected to any of our investment activities during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.1	PLUS	PGS 49	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, which stakeholder groups did your organisation include when identifying and taking action on the actual and potential negative outcomes for people connected to your investment activities?

☒ **(A) Workers**

Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- ☒ (1) Energy
- ☒ (2) Materials
- ☒ (3) Industrials
- ☒ (4) Consumer discretionary
- ☒ (5) Consumer staples
- ☒ (6) Healthcare
- ☒ (7) Finance
- ☒ (8) Information technology
- ☒ (9) Communication services
- ☒ (10) Utilities
- ☒ (11) Real estate

☒ **(B) Communities**

Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- ☒ (1) Energy
- ☒ (2) Materials
- ☒ (3) Industrials
- ☒ (4) Consumer discretionary
- ☒ (5) Consumer staples
- ☒ (6) Healthcare
- ☒ (7) Finance
- ☒ (8) Information technology
- ☒ (9) Communication services
- ☒ (10) Utilities
- ☒ (11) Real estate

☒ **(C) Customers and end-users**

Sector(s) for which each stakeholder group was included

- ☒ (1) Energy
- ☒ (2) Materials
- ☒ (3) Industrials
- ☒ (4) Consumer discretionary
- ☒ (5) Consumer staples
- ☒ (6) Healthcare
- ☒ (7) Finance
- ☒ (8) Information technology
- ☒ (9) Communication services
- ☒ (10) Utilities
- ☒ (11) Real estate

☐ **(D) Other stakeholder groups**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 49.2	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, what information sources did your organisation use to identify the actual and potential negative outcomes for people connected to its investment activities?

☒ **(A) Corporate disclosures**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

Companies' sustainability reports

☐ (B) Media reports

☐ (C) Reports and other information from NGOs and human rights institutions

☒ **(D) Country reports, for example, by multilateral institutions, e.g. OECD, World Bank**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

e.g. reports by the World Bank, IMF, SDG Index (SDSN)

☒ **(E) Data provider scores or benchmarks**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

ESG analysis from ISS-ESG, Sustainalytics (Morningstar) and Upright Project

☒ **(F) Human rights violation alerts**

Provide further detail on how your organisation used these information sources:

ISS-ESG norms based screening alerts on UNGC violations

☐ (G) Sell-side research

☐ (H) Investor networks or other investors

☐ (I) Information provided directly by affected stakeholders or their representatives

☐ (J) Social media analysis

☐ (K) Other

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 50	PLUS	PGS 47	N/A	PUBLIC	Human rights	1, 2

During the reporting year, did your organisation, directly or through influence over investees, enable access to remedy for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to your investment activities?

☐ (A) Yes, we enabled access to remedy directly for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we caused or contributed to through our investment activities

☐ (B) Yes, we used our influence to ensure that our investees provided access to remedies for people affected by negative human rights outcomes we were linked to through our investment activities

☒ **(C) No, we did not enable access to remedy directly, or through the use of influence over investees, for people affected by negative human rights outcomes connected to our investment activities during the reporting year**

Explain why:

Due to limited resources we were not able to do this.

MANAGER SELECTION, APPOINTMENT AND MONITORING (SAM)

OVERALL APPROACH

EXTERNAL INVESTMENT MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	External investment managers	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important in the assessment of external investment managers?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
Organisation						
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies)	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
People and Culture						
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
Investment Process						
(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒

(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
Stewardship						
(I) Policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(J) Policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐	☐
(K) Use of stewardship tools and activities	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(L) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in stewardship practices	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(M) Involvement in collaborative engagement and stewardship initiatives	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(N) Engagement with policy makers and other non-investee stakeholders	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(O) Results of stewardship activities	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
Performance and Reporting						
(P) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(Q) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(R) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important in the assessment of external investment managers	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

SERVICE PROVIDERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Service providers	4

Which responsible investment aspects does your organisation consider important when assessing all service providers that advise you in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers?

- ☒ (A) Incorporation of their responsible investment policy into advisory services
- ☒ (B) Ability to accommodate our responsible investment policy
- ☒ (C) Level of staff's responsible investment expertise
- ☒ (D) Use of data and analytical tools to assess the external investment manager's responsible investment performance
- ☐ (E) Other
 - (F) We do not consider any of the above responsible investment aspects important when assessing service providers that advise us in the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers
 - (G) Not applicable; we do not engage service providers in the selection, appointment or monitoring of external investment managers

Additional context to your response(s): (Voluntary)

We assess fund company, fund group and strategy level ESG and RI policies as part of our due diligence process. In addition, we assess the group level ESG staff capability but more importantly also the investment professionals ESG involvement on the fund level. When assessing tools and data, we focus on assessing what data vendors and tools the fund manager is subscribed to but most importantly we try to assess how the tools are used by investment professionals.

POOLED FUNDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 3	PLUS	OO 5.2, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Pooled funds	4

If you invest in pooled funds, describe how you incorporate responsible investment aspects into the selection, appointment and/or monitoring of external investment managers.

Provide example(s) below

(A) Selection	ESG is integrated both when building an investable fund universe but also more importantly in our qualitative assessment of portfolio managers. This includes, but is not limited to, assessing how ESG is integrated in the investment process (e.g. is it part of securities selection fundamental analysis or just an overlay).
(B) Appointment	We usually appoint the best overall manager for each asset class. This is an overall assessment including ESG. ESG is not a deciding factor unless they are equal candidates. However, it is worth noting that the standards in our market are very high and none of the managers we appoint can be laggards in ESG. In the past we have also worked together with our appointed managers to improve their ESG processes.
(C) Monitoring	Constant monitoring of ESG metrics using both commercial data vendors but also an integral part of our monitoring calls with the external managers.

SELECTION

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 4	CORE	OO 12, OO 21	SAM 5, SAM 6, SAM 7	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers?

- (A) Yes, we selected external investment managers or allocated new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- (B) No, we did not select new external investment managers or allocate new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year
- (C) Not applicable; our organisation is in a captive relationship with external investment managers, which applies to 90% or more of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 5	CORE	SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

During the reporting year, what responsible investment aspects did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?

Organisation

☒ (A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ (B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ (C) Governance structure and senior-level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

People and Culture

☒ (D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ (E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

Investment Process

☒ (F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ (G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ (H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks)

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

Performance and Reporting

- ☒ (I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ (J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements

Select from dropdown list

- ☐ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☒ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☐ (K) We did not review and evaluate any of the above responsible investment aspects when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 6	CORE	OO 8, OO 21, SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	4

During the reporting year, which aspects of the stewardship approach did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?

- ☒ (A) The alignment of their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with the investment mandate

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ (B) Evidence of how they implemented their stewardship objectives, including the effectiveness of their activities

Select from dropdown list

- ☐ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☒ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ (C) Their participation in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives

Select from dropdown list

- ☐ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☒ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ (D) Details of their engagements with companies or issuers on risks connected to systematic sustainability issues

Select from dropdown list

- ☒ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☐ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ (E) Details of their engagement activities with policy makers

Select from dropdown list

- ☐ (1) for all of our mandates
- ☐ (2) for a majority of our mandates
- ☒ (3) for a minority of our mandates

- ☒ (F) Their escalation process and the escalation tools included in their policy on stewardship

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
 - (2) for a majority of our mandates
 - (3) for a minority of our mandates
- (G) We did not review and evaluate any of the above aspects of the stewardship approach when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 7	CORE	OO 9, OO 21, SAM 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	4

During the reporting year, which aspects of (proxy) voting did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, review and evaluate when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers?

☒ (A) The alignment of their policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting with the investment mandate

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ (B) Historical information on the number or percentage of general meetings at which they voted

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ (C) Analysis of votes cast for and against

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ (D) Analysis of votes cast for and against resolutions related to risks connected to systematic sustainability issues

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ (E) Details of their position on any controversial and high-profile votes

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ (F) Historical information of any resolutions on which they voted contrary to their own voting policy and the reasons why

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

☒ (G) Details of all votes involving companies where the external investment manager or an affiliate has a contractual relationship or another potential conflict of interest

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our mandates
- (2) for a majority of our mandates
- (3) for a minority of our mandates

○ (H) We did not review and evaluate any of the above aspects of (proxy) voting when selecting new external investment managers or allocating new mandates to existing investment managers during the reporting year

○ (I) Not applicable; our organisation did not select new external investment managers or allocated new mandates to existing investment managers for listed equity and/or hedge funds that hold equity.

MONITORING

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT PRACTICES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 9	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	4

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
Organisation						
(A) Commitment to and experience in responsible investment (e.g. commitment to responsible investment principles and standards)	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(B) Responsible investment policy(ies) (e.g. the continued alignment of their responsible investment policy with the investment mandate)	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(C) Governance structure and senior level oversight and accountability (e.g. the adequacy of their governance structure and reported conflicts of interest)	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
People and Culture						
(D) Adequate resourcing and incentives (e.g. their team structures, operating model and remuneration structure, including alignment of interests)	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒

(E) Staff competencies and experience in responsible investment (e.g. level of responsible investment responsibilities in their investment team, their responsible investment training and capacity building)

☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒

Investment Process

(F) Incorporation of material ESG factors in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such factors are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒

(G) Incorporation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in the investment process (e.g. detail and evidence of how such risks are incorporated into the selection of individual assets and in portfolio construction)

☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒

(H) Incorporation of material ESG factors and ESG risks connected to systematic sustainability issues in portfolio risk assessment (e.g. their process to measure and report such risks, their response to ESG incidents)

☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒

Performance and Reporting

(I) ESG disclosure in regular client reporting (e.g. any changes in their regular client reporting)

☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒ ☒

(J) Inclusion of ESG factors in contractual agreements

☒ ☒ ☒ ☐ ☐ ☐

(K) We did not monitor any of the above aspects of our external investment managers' responsible investment practices during the reporting year

☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 10	PLUS	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	1

During the reporting year, which information did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor for externally managed ESG passive products and strategies?

(1) Listed equity (passive)

(A) How the external investment managers applied, reviewed and verified screening criteria



(B) How the external investment managers rebalanced the products as a result of changes in ESG rankings, ratings or indexes



(C) Evidence that ESG passive products and strategies meet the responsible investment criteria and process



(D) Other



(E) We did not monitor ESG passive products and strategies



(F) Not applicable; we do not invest in ESG passive products and strategies



(D) Other - Specify:

Active dialogue on product development, additional ESG enhancements and the effect on tracking error etc.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 12	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment practices	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how often does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) At least annually	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(B) Less than once a year	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
(C) On an ad hoc basis	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 13	CORE	OO 8, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, which aspects of your external investment managers' stewardship practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) Any changes in their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(B) The degree of implementation of their policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(C) How they prioritise material ESG factors	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒

(D) How they prioritise risks connected to systematic sustainability issues	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(E) Their investment team's level of involvement in stewardship activities	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(F) Whether the results of stewardship actions were fed back into the investment process and decisions	☒	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
(G) Whether they used a variety of stewardship tools and activities to advance their stewardship priorities	☒	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
(H) The deployment of their escalation process in cases where initial stewardship efforts were unsuccessful	☒	☒	☒	☐	☐	☐
(I) Whether they participated in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(J) Whether they had an active role in collaborative engagements and stewardship initiatives	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(K) Other	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
(L) We did not monitor our external investment managers' stewardship practices during the reporting year	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 14	CORE	OO 9, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship	1, 2

For the majority of your AUM in each asset class where (proxy) voting is delegated to external investment managers, which aspects of your external investment managers' (proxy) voting practices did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, monitor during the reporting year?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)
(A) Any changes in their policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting	☒	☒
(B) Whether their (proxy) voting decisions were consistent with their stewardship priorities as stated in their policy and with their voting policy, principles and/or guidelines	☒	☒
(C) Whether their (proxy) voting decisions were consistent with their stated approach on the prioritisation of risks connected to systematic sustainability issues	☒	☒
(D) Whether their (proxy) voting track record was aligned with our stewardship approach and expectations	☒	☒
(E) The application of their policy on securities lending and any implications for implementing their policy(ies) or guidelines on (proxy) voting (where applicable)	☐	☐
(F) Other	☐	☐
(G) We did not monitor our external investment managers' (proxy) voting practices during the reporting year	☐	☐

ENGAGEMENT AND ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 15	PLUS	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Engagement and escalation	4

Describe how your organisation engaged with external investment managers to improve their responsible investment practices during the reporting year.

We have engaged with several ESG -teams during the reporting year. For instance, in our EM equity funds we challenged all of our managers ESG activities and did a deep dive in to the topic on site. We have explained the needs and demands of our end clients and stakeholders for ESG activities. We have also encouraged funds that in our assessment fulfill article 8 requirements to upgrade their rating. Further, we have continued to engage and improve ESG reporting, acted as test clients and given active feedback on how the reporting can be made better. Our engagement does not just reach our appointed managers. We give active feedback on ESG for most of the managers we meet. One concrete action during the reporting year was to change the manager of our passive products due to ESG reasons. This feedback was also given to our old passive product provider.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 16	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Engagement and escalation	4

What actions does your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, include in its formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring of your external investment managers' responsible investment practices?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) Engagement with their investment professionals, investment committee or other representatives	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(B) Notification about their placement on a watch list or relationship coming under review	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
(C) Reduction of capital allocation to the external investment managers until any concerns have been rectified	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
(D) Termination of the contract if failings persist over a (notified) period, including an explanation of the reasons for termination	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒

(E) Holding off selecting the external investment managers for new mandates or allocating additional capital until any concerns have been rectified	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(F) Other	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
(G) Our organisation does not have a formal escalation process to address concerns raised during monitoring	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

VERIFICATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SAM 17	CORE	OO 14, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Verification	1

For the majority of your externally managed AUM in each asset class, how did your organisation, or the service provider acting on your behalf, verify that the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices was correct during the reporting year?

	(1) Listed equity (active)	(2) Listed equity (passive)	(3) Fixed income (active)	(5) Private equity	(6) Real estate	(7) Infrastructure
(A) We checked that the information reported was verified through a third-party assurance process	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
(B) We checked that the information reported was verified by an independent third party	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
(C) We checked for evidence of internal monitoring or compliance	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
(D) Other	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒	☒
(E) We did not verify the information reported by external investment managers on their responsible investment practices during the reporting year	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

(D) Other - Specify:

We do some cross checking using our own proprietary tools and data vendors to assess the quality of the data or reporting received from our external managers. Incorrect or suspicious data will always lead in to follow ups with the managers and also for a review if we suspect gross negligence.

LISTED EQUITY (LE)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your listed equity strategies?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's average investment holding period

(1) for all of our AUM

(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion

○

(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors

○

MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your listed equity strategies?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but it does not include scenario analyses

(C) We do not have a formal process for our listed equity strategies; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion

○

(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our listed equity strategies

○

(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analysis - Specify: (Voluntary)

Our process includes e.g. climate scenario analysis.

PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How does your financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process incorporate material ESG risks?

(2) Active - fundamental

(A) We incorporate material governance-related risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(1) in all cases

(B) We incorporate material environmental and social risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(1) in all cases

(C) We incorporate material environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process

(1) in all cases

(D) We do not incorporate material ESG risks into our financial analysis, equity valuation or security rating processes

o

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial analysis, benchmark selection and/or portfolio construction process?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on current performance across a range of material ESG factors

(1) in all cases

(B) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on historical performance across a range of material ESG factors

(1) in all cases

(C) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on material ESG factors that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability

(1) in all cases

(D) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information enabling current, historical and/or future performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of material ESG factors

(1) in all cases

(E) We do not incorporate qualitative or quantitative information on material ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial analysis, equity investment or portfolio construction process

o

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 5	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

Provide an example of how you incorporated ESG factors into your equity selection and research process during the reporting year.

As an example, we incorporated ESG factors in the case of the automotive component manufacturer Autoliv. The company is focused on passive safety components for vehicles, and its main products include seatbelts and airbags. The company has a strong history in production of products that improve safety, and a large market share in its market. Important features for the products are quality and reliability. The high quality and reliability of Autoliv's products support the market position, market share, and overall business performance of Autoliv. As the products are drivetrain agnostic, the company is not so dependent on the relative demand of combustion engine and battery electric vehicles, which contributes to Autoliv's favorable risk profile relative to most other stocks in the sector.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your stock selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(1) for all of our AUM

(B) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(1) for all of our AUM

(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process

(1) for all of our AUM

(E) Our stock selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors

o

(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process - Specify:

Material ESG factors influence our view on the different securities and their risk and return prospects.

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 17.1 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- ☒ (A) We have internal compliance procedures that ensure all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening have pre-trade checks
- ☐ (B) We have an external committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- ☒ (C) We have an independent internal committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- o (D) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your listed equity assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

(2) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual listed equity holdings



(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for other listed equity holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents



(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for our stewardship activities



(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents



(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process; our investment professionals identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion



(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process

○

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 11	PLUS	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

Provide an example of how the incorporation of ESG factors in your listed equity valuation or portfolio construction affected the realised returns of those assets.

Some of our mutual funds were invested in the company Autoliv. The incorporation of ESG factors in this case has been described in our answer to question LE 5. Observation of relevant ESG factors supported our positive view on the company's shares. During the period in 2024 when our European equity fund was invested in Autoliv, the return of the stock was +6,8 % while the return of the reference market was -0,4 %. Thereby, our investment in Autoliv had a positive contribution to the performance of the fund.

DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 12	CORE	OO 17 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

For all your listed equity assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- ☒ (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- ☒ (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- ☒ (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as their deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- ☐ (D) We do not share the above information for all our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens

FIXED INCOME (FI)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors depending on different investment time horizons	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) No, we do not have a formal process; our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion	○	○
(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors	○	○

MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your fixed income assets?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses		(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, we have a formal process, but does it not include scenario analyses	(1) for all of our AUM	
(C) We do not have a formal process for our fixed income assets; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion	○	○
(D) We do not monitor and review the implications of changing ESG trends on our fixed income assets	○	○
(A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses - Specify: (Voluntary)		

We use scenario analysis in corporate FI

PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

For the majority of your fixed income investments, does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when assessing their credit quality?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) We incorporate material environmental and social factors	☒	☒
(B) We incorporate material governance-related factors	☒	☒
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG factors for the majority of our fixed income investments	☐	☐

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

Does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by country and/or region (e.g. local governance and labour practices)	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by sector		
(C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector	☐	☐

(D) Not applicable; we are not able to differentiate ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector due to the limited universe of our issuers

○

○

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 6	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How do you incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors over time into your fixed income asset valuation process?

(1) SSA

(2) Corporate

(A) We incorporate it into the forecast of financial metrics or other quantitative assessments

(3) for a minority of our AUM

(B) We make a qualitative assessment of how material ESG factors may evolve

(1) for all of our AUM

(1) for all of our AUM

(C) We do not incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors

○

○

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 8	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your security selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to determining the holding period of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process	(1) for all of our AUM	
(E) Material ESG factors contribute to our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process in other ways		
(F) Our security selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG factors	o	o

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 11	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function or group, can veto investment decisions based on ESG considerations	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Companies, sectors, countries and/or currencies are monitored for changes in exposure to material ESG factors and any breaches of risk limits	(1) for all of our AUM	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Overall exposure to specific material ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on the individual issuer or issue sensitivity to these factors	(1) for all of our AUM	(3) for a minority of our AUM
(D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process	(1) for all of our AUM	
(E) We do not have a process to incorporate material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process	○	○

(D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process - Specify:

Our investment process is centered around country selection, which is called the Aktia Traffic Light Approach. The first step in our Traffic Light Approach is the data-driven Fundamental Model. The model separates 48 indicators from different sources/data providers, such as the IMF and the World Bank, into 3 main pillars: economy, governance and social. These pillars are split into 11 sub-components which encompass topics such as internal vulnerability, human rights, and climate. Each indicator is analysed on level basis, short-term change, and long-term trend. As such, our focus is on the direction of a country's development. The data-driven analysis is then enriched and supported by qualitative analysis. An important part for qualitative analysis is meetings with different institutions, such as central banks, IMF representatives, ministries, and local market participants. The resulting view is then validated by third party analysis. After the fundamental analysis, we allocate countries into four different colours according to our Aktia Traffic Light Approach. The colours are green, yellow, red, and black. Green and yellow countries are investable. For red countries, we do not finance the government, but AAA-rated bond issued by DFIs, and FX derivatives are allowed. Countries labelled as black are non investable. PMs are obliged to react and sell investments if a country is downgraded either to a red or black colour in Aktia's Traffic Light Approach. However, investments that are not in line with the Traffic Light colours can be kept if they do not exceed 5% of net asset value.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your fixed income assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

	(1) SSA	(2) Corporate
(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual fixed income holdings	☒	☒
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for other fixed income holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents	☒	☒
(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for our stewardship activities	☒	☒
(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents	☒	☒

(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents; our investment professionals identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion

○

○

(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process

○

○

THEMATIC BONDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 15	PLUS	OO 20, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	3

What percentage of environmental, social and/or other labelled thematic bonds held by your organisation has been verified?

As a percentage of your total labelled bonds:

(A) Third-party assurance

(B) Second-party opinion

(5) >75%

(C) Approved verifiers or external reviewers (e.g. via CBI or ICMA)

(5) >75%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 16	CORE	OO 17 FI, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1

What pre-determined criteria does your organisation use to identify which non-labelled thematic bonds to invest in?

☒ (A) The bond's use of proceeds

☒ (B) The issuers' targets

☐ (C) The issuers' progress towards achieving their targets

☐ (D) The issuer profile and how it contributes to their targets

☐ (E) We do not use pre-determined criteria to identify which non-labelled thematic bonds to invest in

☐ (F) Not applicable; we do not invest in non-labelled thematic bonds

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 17	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Thematic bonds	1, 2, 6

During the reporting year, what action did you take in the majority of cases when you felt that the proceeds of a thematic bond were not allocated appropriately or in accordance with the terms of the bond deal or prospectus?

- ☐ (A) We engaged with the issuer
- ☐ (B) We alerted thematic bond certification agencies
- ☐ (C) We sold the security
- ☐ (D) We blacklisted the issuer
- ☐ (E) Other action
 - ☐ (F) We did not take any specific actions when the proceeds of a thematic bond were not allocated according to the terms of the bond deal during the reporting year
 - ☒ (G) Not applicable; in the majority of cases, the proceeds of thematic bonds were allocated according to the terms of the bond deal during the reporting year

DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 18	CORE	OO 17 FI, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

For all your fixed income assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- ☒ (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- ☒ (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- ☒ (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as any deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- ☐ (D) We do not share the above information for all our fixed income assets subject to ESG screens

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES (SO)

SETTING TARGETS AND TRACKING PROGRESS

SETTING TARGETS ON SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 1	PLUS	PGS 48	SO 2, SO 2.1, SO 3	PUBLIC	Setting targets on sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities has your organisation taken action on?

☒ **(A) Sustainability outcome #1**

(1) Widely recognised frameworks used to guide action on this sustainability outcome

☐ (1) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets

☒ **(2) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement**

☐ (3) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)

☐ (4) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors

☐ (5) The EU Taxonomy

☐ (6) Other relevant taxonomies

☐ (7) The International Bill of Human Rights

☐ (8) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions

☐ (9) The Convention on Biological Diversity

☐ (10) Other international, regional, sector-based or issue-specific framework(s)

(2) Classification of sustainability outcome

☒ **(1) Environmental**

☐ (2) Social

☐ (3) Governance-related

☐ (4) Other

(3) Sustainability outcome name

Climate action

(4) Number of targets set for this outcome

☐ (1) No target

☐ (2) One target

☒ **(3) Two or more targets**

☐ (B) Sustainability outcome #2

☐ (C) Sustainability outcome #3

☐ (D) Sustainability outcome #4

☐ (E) Sustainability outcome #5

☐ (F) Sustainability outcome #6

☐ (G) Sustainability outcome #7

☐ (H) Sustainability outcome #8

☐ (I) Sustainability outcome #9

☐ (J) Sustainability outcome #10

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 2	PLUS	SO 1	SO 2.1, SO 4, SO 5	PUBLIC	Setting targets on sustainability outcomes	1

For each sustainability outcome, provide details of up to two of your nearest-term targets.

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1:	Climate action		
(1) Target name	Emissions reduction		
(2) Baseline year	2019		
(3) Target to be met by	2025		
(4) Methodology	Reduction of relative average carbon footprint by 30%. All direct listed equity and FI portfolios included in the target.		
(5) Metric used (if relevant)	Relative average carbon footprint (tCO2 / 1m Invested)		
(6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant)	(2) Intensity-based		
(7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant):			
(8) Target level or amount (if relevant)			
(9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting	35%		
(10) Do you also have a longer-term target for this?	(1) Yes		

(A2) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

(A2) Sustainability Outcome #1:	Climate action		
(1) Target name	Emissions reduction		

(2) Baseline year	2019
(3) Target to be met by	2030
(4) Methodology	Reduction of relative average carbon footprint by 50%. All direct listed equity and FI portfolios included in the target.
(5) Metric used (if relevant)	Relative average carbon footprint (tCO ₂ / 1m Invested)
(6) Absolute or intensity-based (if relevant)	(2) Intensity-based
(7) Baseline level or amount (if relevant):	
(8) Target level or amount (if relevant)	
(9) Percentage of total AUM covered in your baseline year for target setting	35%
(10) Do you also have a longer-term target for this?	(1) Yes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 2.1	PLUS	SO 1, SO 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Setting targets on sustainability outcomes	1

For each sustainability outcome, provide details of up to two of your long-term targets.

	(1) Target name	(2) Long-term target to be met by	(3) Long-term target level or amount (if relevant)
(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: Climate action	Emissions reduction	2050	Net Zero investment portfolio by 2050
(A2) Sustainability Outcome #1: Climate action	Emissions reduction	2050	Net Zero investment portfolio by 2050

FOCUS: SETTING NET-ZERO TARGETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 3	PLUS	SO 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Focus: Setting net-zero targets	General

If relevant to your organisation, you can opt-in to provide further details on your net-zero targets.

- ☒ (A) Yes, we would like to provide further details on our organisation's asset class-specific net-zero targets
- ☐ (B) Yes, we would like to provide further details on our organisation's net-zero targets for high-emitting sectors
- ☐ (C) Yes, we would like to provide further details on our organisation's mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets
- ☐ (D) No, we would not like to provide further details on our organisation's asset class, high-emitting sectors or mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets
- ☐ (E) No, our organisation does not have any asset class, high-emitting sectors or mandate or fund-specific net-zero targets

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
NZAM 1	PLUS	NZAM, SO 3	SO 3.1, SO 3.2	PUBLIC	Focus: Setting net-zero targets	General

Select the relevant asset class breakdown for your organisation to report on your net-zero targets.

- ☒ (A) PRI's standard asset class breakdown
- ☐ (B) Asset class breakdown as per the NZAOA's Target Setting Protocol

TRACKING PROGRESS AGAINST TARGETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 4	PLUS	SO 2	SO 4.1	PUBLIC	Tracking progress against targets	1

Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcomes targets?

(A1) Sustainability outcome #1:

(A1) Sustainability outcome #1:	Climate action
Target name:	Emissions reduction
Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?	(1) Yes

(A2) Sustainability outcome #1:

(A2) Sustainability outcome #1:	Climate action
Target name:	Emissions reduction
Does your organisation track progress against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?	(1) Yes

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 4.1	PLUS	SO 4	N/A	PUBLIC	Tracking progress against targets	1

During the reporting year, what qualitative or quantitative progress did your organisation achieve against your nearest-term sustainability outcome targets?

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1: Target details

(A1) Sustainability Outcome #1:	Climate action
(1) Target name	Emissions reduction

(2) Target to be met by	2025
(3) Metric used (if relevant)	Relative average carbon footprint (tCO ₂ / 1m Invested)
(4) Current level or amount (if relevant)	22.20 t CO ₂ e/1Mn €
(5) Other qualitative or quantitative progress	Change - 59,9%
(6) Methodology for tracking progress	Change in the weighted average relative carbon footprint of the portfolio from baseline year
(A2) Sustainability outcome #1: Target details	
(A2) Sustainability outcome #1:	Climate action
(1) Target name	Emissions reduction
(2) Target to be met by	2030
(3) Metric used (if relevant)	Relative average carbon footprint (tCO ₂ / 1m Invested)
(4) Current level or amount (if relevant)	22.20 tCO ₂ e/1Mn€
(5) Other qualitative or quantitative progress	Change - 59,9%
(6) Methodology for tracking progress	Change in the weighted average relative carbon footprint of the portfolio from baseline year

INDIVIDUAL AND COLLABORATIVE INVESTOR ACTION ON OUTCOMES

LEVERS USED TO TAKE ACTION ON SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 5	PLUS	SO 2	Multiple	PUBLIC	Levers used to take action on sustainability outcomes	1, 2, 5

During the reporting year, which of the following levers did your organisation use to take action on sustainability outcomes, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

☒ (A) Stewardship with investees, including engagement, (proxy) voting, and direct influence with privately held assets

Select from drop down list:

☒ (1) Individually

☒ (2) With other investors or stakeholders

☒ (B) Stewardship: engagement with external investment managers

Select from drop down list:

☒ (1) Individually

☐ (2) With other investors or stakeholders

☒ (C) Stewardship: engagement with policy makers

Select from drop down list:

☒ (1) Individually

☒ (2) With other investors or stakeholders

☐ (D) Stewardship: engagement with other key stakeholders

☐ (E) Capital allocation

☐ (F) Our organisation did not use any of the above levers to take action on sustainability outcomes during the reporting year

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 7	PLUS	OO 17 FI, SO 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

During the reporting year, did you use thematic bonds to take action on sustainability outcomes, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

Thematic bond(s) label

(A) Sustainability Outcome #1:
Climate action

(A) Green/climate bonds
(C) Sustainability bonds
(D) Sustainability-linked bonds

STEWARDSHIP WITH INVESTEEES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 8	PLUS	SO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship with investees	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation use stewardship with investees to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Across all sustainability outcomes

(1) Describe your approach	Identified weaknesses in climate-related performance may serve as a trigger for initiating an engagement dialogue with the investee company.
(2) Stewardship tools or activities used	(1) Engagement (2) (Proxy) voting at shareholder meetings (4) Nominating directors to the board
(3) Example	We engaged with companies demonstrating weak performance on climate-related metrics, as identified through the ISS ESG Climate Impact Assessment tool.

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:	Climate action
(1) Describe your approach	We follow the group stewardship policy. One goal of the policy is to use stewardship methods to promote the goals of Aktia's climate strategy.
(2) Stewardship tools or activities used	(1) Engagement (2) (Proxy) voting at shareholder meetings (4) Nominating directors to the board
(3) Example	We participate in nomination committees of investee companies in small and micro cap holdings. We promote the selection of directors to the board that have knowledge in climate related issues.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 9	PLUS	SO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship with investees	2

How does your organisation prioritise the investees you conduct stewardship with to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

☒ (A) We prioritise the most strategically important companies in our portfolio.

Describe how you do this:

We utilize this approach for ad hoc engagement activities

Select from the list:

- ☒ 2
☐ 3
☐ 4

☒ (B) We prioritise the companies in our portfolio most significantly connected to sustainability outcomes.

Describe how you do this:

E.g. engaging with companies that have verified breaches against international norms (UNGC)

Select from the list:

- ☒ 1
☐ 3
☐ 4

☐ (C) We prioritise the companies in our portfolio to ensure that we cover a certain proportion of the sustainability outcomes we are taking action on.

☐ (D) Other

STEWARDSHIP WITH EXTERNAL INVESTMENT MANAGERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 10	PLUS	OO 5, SO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship with external investment managers	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or the external service providers acting on your behalf, engage with external investment managers to ensure that they take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Across all sustainability outcomes

(1) Describe your approach

We engage with our external asset managers on climate-related issues to ensure alignment with our sustainability objectives. For instance, we seek confirmation that our managers are committed to climate targets consistent with Aktia's net zero ambitions.

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:

Climate action

(1) Describe your approach

STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 11	PLUS	SO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation use engagement with policy makers to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Across all sustainability outcomes

(1) Describe your approach

(2) Engagement tools or activities used

(3) Example(s) of policies engaged on

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1:

(B) Sustainability Outcome #1: Climate action

(1) Describe your approach

(2) Engagement tools or activities used

(3) Example(s) of policies engaged on

STEWARDSHIP: COLLABORATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SO 13	PLUS	SO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Collaboration	2

During the reporting year, to which collaborative initiatives did your organisation contribute to take action on sustainability outcomes, including preventing and mitigating actual and potential negative outcomes?

(A) Initiative #1

(1) Name of the initiative	CDP non-disclosure campaign
(2) Indicate how your organisation contributed to this collaborative initiative	(A) We were a lead investor in one or more focus entities (e.g. investee companies)
(3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative	In the CDP non-disclosure campaign, Aktia was the lead investor for six companies that Aktia's mutual funds have holdings in. Aktia has been supporting the companies on their environmental transition journey.

(B) Initiative #2

(1) Name of the initiative	Climate Action 100+
(2) Indicate how your organisation contributed to this collaborative initiative	(B) We acted as a collaborating investor in one or more focus entities (e.g. investee companies)
(3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative	Aktia participates in Climate Action 100+, an investor-led initiative to ensure the world's largest corporate greenhouse gas emitters take appropriate action on climate change in order to mitigate financial risk and to maximize the long-term value of assets.

(C) Initiative #3

(1) Name of the initiative	SPRING
(2) Indicate how your organisation contributed to this collaborative initiative	(B) We acted as a collaborating investor in one or more focus entities (e.g. investee companies)
(3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative	Aktia is participating in the SPRING initiative led by the UN supported Principles for Responsible Investment to halt biodiversity loss by 2030. As a collaborating investor Aktia has an active role in the engagement with specified companies.

(D) Initiative #4

(1) Name of the initiative	ASCOR Project
(2) Indicate how your organisation contributed to this collaborative initiative	(F) We provided financial support (G) We were part of an advisory committee or similar
(3) Provide further detail on your participation in this collaborative initiative	Aktia was one of the original funders of ASCOR (Assessing Sovereign Climate-related Opportunities and Risks) and participated in the project's advisory committee during the first phase.

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- ☐ (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- ☐ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- ☐ (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☒ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- ☒ (E) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
- ☐ (F) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- ☐ (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- ☒ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent
 - Sections of PRI report reviewed
 - ☒ (1) the entire report
 - ☐ (2) selected sections of the report
 - ☐ (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year